## **Application No.** Applicant(s) 09/448,868 NI ET AL. Interview Summary **Art Unit Examiner** Claire M. Kaufman 1646 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Claire M. Kaufman. (2) Elizabeth J. Haanes. Date of Interview: 08 August 2002. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] e)⊠ No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 208 and 237. Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_ . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On the "Notice of Allowability" (paper #19), claim 208 was inadvertently left out in the list of "allowed claims", and claim 237 was inadvertently added. The Examiner's Amendment attached to the Notice clearly indicates this. Therefore, the listing of allowed claims should read:84-97,100-113,116-131,134-149,152-171,174-187,190-205,208-229,232-236,238-241,243-246 and 248. PTO-1449, Ale 3/7/00, attached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) $\boxtimes$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

## 

2) applicant's representative]

e) No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On the "Notice of Allowability" (paper #19), claim 208 was inadvertently left out in the list of "allowed claims", and claim 237 was inadvertently added. The Examiner's Amendment attached to the Notice clearly indicates this. Therefore, the listing of allowed claims should read:84-97,100-113,116-131,134-149,152-171,174-187,190-205,208-229,232-236,238-241,243-246 and 248

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h)  $\square$  N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b) Video Conference

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Claim(s) discussed: 208 and 237.

c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required